

Feeding Ecology and Length-weight Relationship of Indian Glass Barb, *Laubuka laubuca* (Hamilton 1822) at Maguru Oya Stream (Deduru Oya River Tributary), Sri Lanka

U. P. K. EPA* and N. M. A. J. NARAYANA

Department of Zoology and Environmental management, University of Kelaniya, Kelaniya, Sri Lanka 11600

Abstract

Laubuka laubuca (Hamilton 1822) is a poorly studied freshwater fish found in South and Southeast Asia. This study was conducted to investigate the feeding habit and length-weight relationship of *L. laubuca* at Maguru Oya Stream, Sri Lanka. Gut contents of 180 fish were analysed, and food particles identified were categorised into 15 broad taxonomic groups. Relative abundance of each food category was calculated for 1-3, 3-5 and >5 cm length classes. Trophic niche breadth, food electivity index and Fulton's condition factor of *L. laubuca* were calculated. Length-weight relationship was determined using the expression, $W = aL^b$. *Laubuka laubuca* was a euryphagous-planktivorous fish and it showed a size dependent feeding pattern. Young fish had significantly broader (P<0.05) trophic niche breadth than that of adults. According to food electivity indices *L. laubuca* preferred euglenoids, rotifers, insect larvae, crustacean larvae, arachnid larvae and macrozoobenthos which were highly abundant in stream habitat. *Laubuka laubuca* showed a positive allometric growth pattern with length-weight relationship of log W = -2.3684 + 3.3528 log TL. Due to high availability of preferred food items in its habitat and positive allometric growth *L. laubuca* could be categorised under least concern category in the IUCN Red List.

Introduction

Indian glass barb, *Laubuka laubuca* (Hamilton 1822) (Cyprinidae) (Junior synonym, *Chela laubuca;* Kottelat 2013; Froese and Pauly 2016) is a freshwater fish found in Sri Lanka and many other Asian countries (Froese and Pauly 2016). It inhabits the upper and middle depth water layers of streams, small rivers, ponds, flood plains and reservoirs (Vishwanath 2010; Indra et al. 2011; Froese and Pauly 2016). *Laubuka laubuca* is exploited as a tropical aquarium fish due to its small size, yellowish fins, blue-green iridescent body colour and hardiness (Kulabtong et al. 2012). It comprises an important component of riverine fisheries of India (Sugunan 1995), Bangladesh (Hossain et al. 2009; Hossain et al. 2012) and Nepal (Oo 2002). Ethnic groups in Nepal and northern India consume *L. laubuca* in sun dried form (Sarmah et al. 2014; Thapa 2016).

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail address: epa@kln.ac.lk

Other than for human consumption *L. laubuca* is suitable to produce feed for cultured aquatic organisms as its flesh consists of more than 21% protein (Singh et al. 2012). *Laubuka laubuca* is currently not exploited as a food fish in Sri Lanka, despite its high abundance of lentic and lotic water bodies distributed across the country (De Silva and Sirisena 1987; Amarasinghe 1990; Manchanayake and Madduma Bandara 1999; Amarasinghe and Weerakoon 2009; Amarasinghe et al. 2016). According to Schiemer et al. (2001), Villanueva et al. (2008) and Amarasinghe et al. (2014) exploitation of small indigenous species including *L. labauca* would pose little or no impact on the trophic dynamics of reservoir ecosystems of Sri Lanka. However, no studies are reported on the biological aspects of *L. laubuca* in the lotic habitats of Sri Lanka. Most of the riverine habitats in Sri Lanka are among the highly threatened ecosystems, and existence of fish communities in these habitats are severely threatened by anthropogenic activities (Pethiyagoda 2006).

Previous studies on *L. laubuca* report morphometric and meristic characters (Kulabtong et al. 2012), length-weight relationship and form factor (Hossain et al. 2011; Hossain et al. 2012; Kaushik et al. 2015). The knowledge about trophic status of *L. laubuca* in stream habitats is important due to the fact that this species is grouped into vulnerable category in Red Data Lists in some countries such as Bangladesh (IUCN Bangladesh 2014) perhaps due to data deficiency. Therefore, the present study was conducted to study the trophic ecology, length weight relationship and condition factor of *L. laubuca* at Maguru Oya Stream (a tributary of Deduru Oya River), Kurunegala, Sri Lanka.

Materials and Methods

The study site

Sampling was conducted at three sampling sites each with 2 km distance apart at Maguru Oya Stream, Kurunegala District in North Western Province, Sri Lanka (Fig. 1). Maguru Oya Stream is one of the first order streams of Deduru Oya River which is the fourth largest river in the island. The average depth and width of sampling sites varied from 0.78- 0.90 m and 7.2- 8.3 m, respectively. The estimated terrain elevation above sea level of this freshwater stream is 82 m.

Sampling and preservation of fish

Fish were sampled using a cast net (mesh size 5 mm) at three selected sampling sites (A, B, C; Fig. 1) at Maguru Oya Stream. Sampled fish were immediately preserved in 10% formalin and brought to the laboratory for further analysis. The individual weight (digital balance; Sartorius, BS-223 S, Germany) to the nearest 0.01 g and total length (vernier caliper; Mitutoyo) to the nearest 1 mm were measured and fish were categorised into three different length classes as 1-3, 3-5 and >5 cm. They were then stored separately in a refrigerator at the temperature of 2 °C until the gut content analysis was carried out. In total, 180 fishes were collected for the gut content analysis from the three sampling sites.



Fig.1. The locations of three sampling sites (A, B and C) for Indian glass barb, Laubuka laubuca at Maguru Oya Stream.

Length-weight relationship

The relationship between length and weight was calculated using the expression: $W = aL^b$, where, W is the total body weight (g), L the total length (mm), and the log-transformed version, log $W = \log a + b \log L$ where log a and b are intercept and slope, respectively. The slope of the relationship (b) was compared with cube value using Student's t-test to investigate whether *L*. *laubuca* exhibits isometric (b=3.0), positive allometric (b>3.0), or negative allometric (b<3.0) growth.

Fulton's condition factor

The Fulton's condition factor (K) was calculated according to formula, $K = (W/L^3) \times 100$ (Pauly 1983).

Gut content analysis

Individual fish were dissected and as cyprinids do not have well-developed stomach, the contents of the first one third of the gut were considered as recently ingested food. These gut contents were transferred to a fixed volume of distilled water. One milliliter of this suspension was transferred to the Sedgwick rafter cell for quantitative determination of gut contents. The gut content was examined using a light microscope at the magnifications of x10 and x40 and components of gut content were identified up to generic level using standard keys (Abeywickrama and Abeywickrama 1986; Fernando and Weerawardhena 2002). Relative volume of each food item was determined using arbitrarily a *Pinnularia* cell as the standard, and expressed as percentage bio-volume of total food items in the gut contents (Hynes 1950). Individual food items identified were categorised into 15 broader taxonomic groups.

Plankton sampling and identification

Plankton in the sampling sites were collected using a plankton net (mesh size: 50 μ m). Immediately after sampling, half of the sample was preserved in Lugol's iodine solution for enumeration of phytoplankton and the rest in 5% formalin for zooplankton analysis. Both phytoplankton and zooplankton were identified up to generic level and their relative volumes were estimated and expressed as percentage of the total food items in the water according to the procedure mentioned under the gut content analysis.

Trophic niche breadth

The Levins' measure of trophic niche breadth (B) of fish was estimated by $B = 1/\Sigma p_j^2$; where p_j is the proportion of individuals found in or using resource state, or fraction of items in the diet that are of food category j (Levins 1966). B was divided by the total number of resource states after correcting for a finite number of resources as suggested by Hurlbert (1978) for estimating the standardised niche breadth, $B_A = (B - 1) / (n - 1)$ where n = number of possible resource states.

Food electivity index

The food electivity index (E) of fish was estimated using the following formula, $(E) = (r_i - p_i) / (r_i + p_i)$, where r_i is the relative abundance of prey item i in the gut (as a proportion of the total gut contents) and p_i is the relative abundance of the same prey item in the environment (Ivlev, 1961). The index of electivity (E) has a possible range of -1 (strong negative selection or avoidance) via 0 (no selection or random selection) to +1 (strong positive selection) (Durbin 1979).

Statistical analysis

Mean dietary breadth in the three size groups of fish was compared using one-way ANOVA at $\alpha = 0.05$ level of significance. Multi-dimensional scaling (MDS) was used to summarise relative importance of 15 food categories of fish in the three size classes. As proportions of food categories range from very low to fairly high values, data were double square root transformed prior to MDS analysis. One-way ANOVA was performed using the MINITAB (Version 14 for windows) and PRIMER (Version 5.2.9 for windows; Clarke and Gorley 2001) statistical software was used for MDS analysis.

Results

Gut contents of L. laubuca

The food items found in the gut contents of *L. laubuca* were categorised into 15 main broader taxonomic groups as shown in Table 1. *Laubuka laubuca* can be considered as a planktivorous fish as it feeds on both phytoplankton and zooplankton.

Abbreviations	Food components	
BG	Dactylococcopsis, Lyngbya, Merismopedia, Microcystis,	
	Oscillatoria	
GA	Pediastrum, Closterium, Cosmarium, Spirogyra, Ulothrix,	
	Scendesmus	
EG	Euglena, Trachelomonas, Cryptoglena	
GB	Centritractus, Fragilaria	
DT	Synedra, Tabellaria, Achanthes, Navicula, Pinnularia,	
	Nitzschia	
FA	Melosira, Tribonema, Ulothrix	
UA	-	
PZ	Cryptomonas, Peranema, Paramecium	
RT	Tintinnopsis, Brachionus, Philodina, Lecane	
CD	Daphnia, Diaphnosoma, Moina, Bosmina, Ceriodaphnia,	
	Chydorous	
СР	Calchas, Cyclops, Eucyclops, Thermocyclops	
IL	Diptera, Ephemereptera, Plecoptera, Hemiptera larvae	
CL	Shrimp larvae (zoea and mysis)	
AL	Aquatic mites	
MB	Nematodes, Annelids	
	Abbreviations BG GA EG GB DT FA UA PZ RT CD CP IL CL AL MB	

Table 1. Fifteen food categories and components found in the gut contents of Laubuka laubuca.

Relative importance of food categories

Relative importance of each food category, expressed as percentage proportions ($%p_i$) in the gut content of *L. laubuca* is given in Table 2. Relative importance of some food categories increased (e.g., diatoms, protozoans, copepods, crustacean larvae, arachnid larvae, and macrozoobenthos) with increasing body size while some food categories (e.g., blue green algae, green algae, golden brown algae, filamentous algae, rotifers and cladocerans) decreased with increasing length of fish.

Food category	Length class			
	1-3 cm	3-5 cm	>5cm	
BG	14.3±0.6	7.4±0.2	0.2±0.0	
GA	3.2±0.4	2.8±0.1	1.1±0.1	
EG	7.8±0.3	3.5±0.4	4.4 ± 0.6	
GB	$0.9{\pm}0.2$	0.4 ± 0.2	0.1 ± 0.0	
DT	3.8±0.4	4.1±0.4	9.7±0.6	
FA	1.5±0.4	1.2±0.2	0.3±0.1	
UA	1.6±0.15	$0.7{\pm}0.1$	$0.2{\pm}0.0$	
PZ	0.1±0.0	0.1 ± 0.0	$0.2{\pm}0.0$	
RT	2.0±0.1	0.8 ± 0.0	$0.9{\pm}0.0$	
CD	38.3±0.8	11.9±0.5	1.0±0.6	
СР	0.9±0.3	1.1±0.1	1.2 ± 0.01	
IL	11.8±0.3	32.9±2.1	18.3±0.6	
CL	4.4±0.1	16.4±0.7	30.1±1.5	
AL	5.3±0.1	12.0±0.2	12.7±1.0	
MB	3.5±0.3	4.1±0.3	19.4±1.9	

Table 2. Mean \pm SE of relative importance (%*P_i*) of 15 food categories recorded in the gut contents of three different length classes of *Laubuka laubuca* in Maguru Oya.

Blue green algae - BG, Green algae - GA, Euglenoids - EG, Golden brown algae - GB, Diatoms - DT, Filamentous algae- FA, Unidentified algae - UA, Protozoans - PZ, Rotifers - RT, Cladocerans - CD, Copepods - CP, Aquatic insect larvae - IL, Crustacean larvae - CL, Arachnid larvae - AL and Macrozoobenthos - MB

In the MDS plot, stress value is 0.01 (Clerk and Gorley 2001) showing that the dietary habits of different length classes of *L. laubuca* were well separated in the two dimensional MDS plot (Fig. 2).



Fig. 2. Two dimensional MDS plot of relative importance of dietary composition of three different length classes of *Laubuka laubuca* (A, B, and C letters represent the three different study sites; 1-3, 3-5 and >5 represent three length classes).

Trophic niche breadth

Mean \pm SE of trophic niche breadths of *L. laubuca* are given in Table 3. The values were significantly different among different length classes while niche breadth decreased with increasing length of fish.

Length class	Site A	Site B	Site C	Average
1cm-3 cm	2.14a±0.05	2.11a ±0.03	2.09a ±0.06	2.11±0.05
3cm- 5 cm	2.08b±0.02	$2.03b \pm 0.06$	$2.04b \pm 0.03$	2.05 ± 0.02
> 5 cm	1.87c±0.01	1.88c ±0.01	1.84c ±0.02	1.88 ± 0.01

Table 3. Mean \pm SE for niche breadth values for three length classes of *Laubuka laubuca*.

Means with different superscripts in different columns are significantly different (one-way ANOVA, P<0.05).

Food electivity index

Euglenoids, rotifers, insect larvae, crustacean larvae, arachnid larvae and macrozoobenthos were positively selected and green algae, diatoms, filamentous algae and copepods were negatively selected by *L. laubuca* (Fig. 3).

Golden brown algae, unidentified algae and cladocerans were negatively selected by the fish grouped into the largest length class while the same food categories were positively selected by fish grouped into smaller length classes.



Fig. 3. Ivlev's electivity indices for food categories of three different length classes of Laubuka laubuca.

Length-weight relationship and condition factor

The length and weight of *L. laubuca* in Maguru Oya Stream ranged from 18.9- 58.5 mm and 0.02- 1.86 g, respectively. The length weight relationship of *L. laubuca* was log W = - 2.3684 + 3.3528 log L (R²=0.98, P<0.05) with W in mg and L in mm (Fig. 4). It had a positive allometric growth pattern with a significant difference from cube value (Student's t test, t = 0.7722; p < 0.05) and the body condition factor was 0.76 mg mm⁻³.



Fig. 4. The relationship of Log weight (W) and Log total length (TL) of Laubuka laubuca at Maguru Oya Stream.

Discussion

Laubuka laubuca can be considered as a euryphagous-planktivorous fish as its diet contained a wide range of planktonic organisms, including algae, copepods, cladocerans, crustacean larvae, arachnid larvae, insect larvae and planktonic nematodes and annelids. Euryphagous fish species are more successful in maintaining larger populations compared to stenophagous species (Amisah and Agbo 2008). Therefore, the broad spectrum of food items ingested by *L. laubuca* may make it possible to maintain large populations in habitats where it occurs.

Three length classes of *L. laubuca* were grouped into three clusters based on gut contents showing its size-dependent dietary shift. Fish grouped into smaller length classes mainly fed on algae, cladocerans and insect larvae. The dependence of young stages of freshwater fish species on algae and cladocerans for their nutrient requirement has been previously observed by Lazzaro (1987). Juveniles of two spot barb, *Puntius bimaculatus* (Bleeker 1863) inhabited in small creeks in Sri Lanka also consumed high amount of algae compared to their adults (De Silva et al. 1977). Because algae have no escape mechanisms, filter-feeding young fish require no specific capture strategies to utilise them as food (Lazzaro 1987). Cladocerans (*Daphnia, Diaphanosoma, Moina*) may be preferred over copepods and rotifers by smaller fish due to their comparatively lower swimming speed (Vijverberg et al. 2001), and slow prey avoidance response (Lazzaro 1987). Mosquito fish, *Gambusia holbrooki* in Lake Nainital in India also showed higher preference for cladocerans than copepods (Singh and Gupta 2010).

Insect larvae were found in relatively higher proportion in the diet of *L. laubuca* irrespective of its length class as previously observed for *Belontia signata* (Gunther 1861), *Puntius titteya* Deraniyagala 1929, *Pethia nigrofasciata* (Gunther 1868) (Wikramanayake and Moyle 1989) and *Puntius dorsalis* (Jerdon 1849) (De Silva et al. 1996). Other than insect larvae, adult fish also fed on crustacean larvae, arachnid larvae and macrozoobenthos. The relative proportions of these food categories increased with body size of fish. The low relative importance of these food categories in the gut contents of fish smaller than 3 cm showed selective feeding behaviour of *L. laubuca* during juvenile stages.

The trophic niche breadth of *L. laubuca* was significantly broader in juveniles than that of adults. This indicates that adult *L. laubuca* is relatively specialised, whereas young stages with wider niche breadth are typically generalists. However, adult *L. laubuca* consumed a comparatively higher amount of diatoms, which are highly abundant in Sri Lankan freshwaters (Silva 2006). Diatoms consisted of a major part of the food in stream living fish in Sri Lanka including, *P. bimaculatus*, *Pethiya cumingi* (Gunther 1868) (Wikramanayake and Moyle 1989) and *P. nigrofasciata* (De Silva and Somarathna 1994). The highest length (58.5 mm) and weight (1.86 g) of *L. laubuca* recorded in the present study were highly comparable with that of the same parameters recorded for the same species in India (Kaushik et al. 2015).

However, the highest length (105.4 mm) and weight (10.4 g) recorded for *L. laubuca* in Pakistan (Hossain et al. 2009) were higher than the values recorded in the present study. *Laubuka laubuca* showed a positive allometric growth as observed for the same species in India (Kaushik et al. 2015) and Bangladesh (Hossain et al. 2011; Hossain et al. 2012). Therefore, stream habitat may provide a conducive environment for the growth of *L. laubuca*. As such, *L. laubuca* can be treated in the 'least concern' category of IUCN National Red Data list in Sri Lanka.

Conclusion

Laubuka laubuca in the stream habitats in Sri Lanka feed on plankton species which are abundant in the habitat. Being a euryphagous- planktivorous fish species, it is unlikely that there will be any potential threat to the existence of this species due to food limitations in the natural habitats. Although adults are relatively stenophagous, the food items that they feed on are abundant in the habitats. The body condition is high showing that the nutritive quality of ingested food items is sufficient to maintain viable populations where they occur. The results of the present study therefore imply that this species can be treated as 'least concern' in the IUCN National Red Data List of Sri Lanka.

References

- Abeywickrama, B.A. and L. Abeywickrama. 1986. The genera of the of the freshwater algae of Sri Lanka, Publication No 06, UNESCO Man and the Biosphere National Committee for Sri Lanka, National Science Foundation, Sri Lanka. 218 pp.
- Amarasinghe, U.S. 1990. Minor cyprinid resources in a man-made lake in Sri Lanka: a potential supplementary source of income for fishermen. Fisheries Research. 9:81-89.
- Amarasinghe, U.S. and D.E.M. Weerakoon. 2009. Present status and future strategies for the management of reservoir fisheries in Sri Lanka. In: Status of reservoir fisheries in five Asian countries (eds. S.S. De Silva and U.S. Amarasinghe), pp. 69-98. Network of Aquaculture Centres in Asia-Pacific Bangkok, Thailand.
- Amarasinghe, U.S., J. Vijverberg, W.S. Weliange and M. Vos. 2014. Food web patterns and diversity in tropical fish communities. Lakes and Reservoirs: Research and Management 19:56-69.
- Amarasinghe, U.S., P.A.D. Ajith Kumara and S.S De Silva. 2016. A rationale for introducing a subsidiary fishery in tropical reservoirs and lakes to augment inland fish production: case study from Sri Lanka supplemented with evidence from elsewhere. Food Security 8: 769-781.
- Amisah, S. and N. W. J. Agbo. 2008. An investigation into the food and feeding ecology of a potential aquaculture candidate, *Sarotherodon galilaeus multifasciatus* in a meteoritic crater lake in Ghana. Journal of Applied Science and Environment Management 12:15-18.

Clarke K.R. and R.N. Gorley 2001. PRIMER v5: User manual/tutorial. PRIMER-E, Plymouth, UK. 91 pp.

- De Silva, S. S., K. Kortmulder and M.J.S. Wijeyaratne. 1977. Comparative study of the food and feeding habits of *Puntius bimaculatus* and *P. titteya* (Pisces, Cyprinidae). Netherlands Journal of Zoology 27:253-263.
- De Silva, S.S. and H.K.G. Sirisena. 1987. New fish resources of reservoirs in Sri Lanka. Feasibility of introduction of a subsidiary gillnet fishery for minor cyprinids. Fisheries Research 6:17-34.
- De Silva, K.H.G.M. and R. M.D. Somarathna. 1994. Food and feeding biology of the endemic carplets *Barbus cumingi* and *B. nigrofasciatus* (Osteichthyes, Cyprinidae) of Sri Lanka. Ceylon Journal of Science 23:28-32.
- De Silva, S.S., U.S. Amarasinghe and N.D.N.S. Wijegoonawardena. 1996. Diel feeding patterns and daily ration of cyprinid species in the wild determined using an iterative method. Journal of Fish Biology 49:1153-1162.
- Durbin, A.G. 1979. Food selection by plankton feeding fishes. In: Predator-prey systems in fisheries management (ed. H. Clepper), pp. 203-218. Sport Fishing Institute, Washington D.C.
- Fernando, C.H. and S.R. Weerawardhena. 2002. Sri Lanka freshwater fauna and fisheries. Third Millennium Book. Colombo. 1798 pp.
- Froese, R. and D. Pauly. Editors. 2016. FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication. www.fishbase.org. Accessed 25 January 2016.
- Hossain, M.Y., S. Jasmine, A. H. M. Ibrahim, Z. F. Ahmed, M. M. Rahman and J. Ohtomi. 2009. Length-weight and length-length relationships of 10 small fish species from the Ganges, Bangladesh. Journal of Applied Ichthyology 25:117-119.
- Hossain, M.Y., S. Rahman., B. Fulanda, M.A.S. Jewel, S. Ahmed and J. Ohtomi. 2011. Length-weight and length-length relationships of five threatened fish species from the Jamuna River (Brahmaputhra River tributary), Northern Bangladesh. Journal of Applied Ichthyology 28:275-277.
- Hossain, Y., M. Rahman, A.S. Jewel, Z.F.A.F Ahamed, B. Fulanda, E.M. Abdallah and J. Ohtomi. 2012. Conditions and form-factor of the five threatened fishes from the Jamuna (Brahmaputra River tributary) River, Northern Bangladesh. Sains Malaysiana 41:671-678.
- Hurlbert, S.H. 1978. The measurement of niche overlap and some relatives. Ecology 59:67-77.
- Hynes, H.B.N. 1950. The food of the freshwater sticklebacks (*Gasterosteus aculeatus* and *Pygosteus pungitius*) with a review of methods used in studies of the food of fishes. Journal of Animal Ecology 19:36-58.
- Indra, T.J., K. Rema Devi and K. Ilango. 2011. Fishes of river Pennar and its branches, Records of Zoological Survey of India 329:1-52.
- Ivlev, V.S. 1961. Experimental ecology of the feeding of fishes. Yale. Univ. Press, New Haven, CT. 302 pp.
- IUCN Bangladesh. 2014. The festschrift on the 50th Anniversary of The IUCN Red List of threatened Species TM, Dhaka, Bangladesh: IUCN. x+192 pp.
- Kaushik, G., M.K. Das., J.F. Hussain and S. Bordolai. 2015. Length weight relationships of five fish species collected from Ranganadi River (Brahmaputhra River tributary) in Assam, India. Journal of Applied Ichthyology. 31:433-444.

- Kottelat, M. 2013. The fishes of the inland waters of South East Asia: A catalogue and core bibliography of the fishes known to occur in freshwaters, mangroves and estuaries. The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology. Supplement No. 27:1-663.
- Kulabtong, S., S. Suksri and C. Nonpayom. 2012. New data of the freshwater fish genera *Laubuca* Bleeker, 1860 (Cypriniformes, Cyprinidae) and *Phenacostethus* Myers, 1928 (Atheriniformes, Phallostethidae) in Thailand. Biodiversity Journal 3:159-164.
- Lazzaro, X. 1987. A review of planktivorous fishes: Their evolution, feeding behaviours, selectivities, and impacts. Hydrobiologia 146:97-167.
- Levins, R. 1966. The strategy of model building in population biology. American Scientist 54: 421-431.
- Manchanayake, P. and C.M. Madduma Bandara. 1999. Water Resources of Sri Lanka. Natural Resources Series No. 4. National Science Foundation, Colombo. 112 pp.
- Oo, W. 2002. Inland fisheries of the Union of Myanmar. In: Cold Water Fisheries in the Trans-Himalayan Countries (eds. T. Petr and D.B. Swar), pp. 71-78. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper 431. FAO, Rome.
- Pauly, D. 1983. Some simple methods for the assessment of tropical fish stocks. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper. No. 234 FAO, Rome. 52 pp.
- Pethiyagoda, R. 2006. Conservation of Sri Lankan freshwater fishes. Fauna of Sri Lanka: Status of taxonomy, research and conservation. World Conservation Union, Colombo. 132 pp.
- Sarmah, A., S. Bora, D.J. Deori, S.K. Abujam and S.P. Biswas. 2014. Indigenous technique for preparation of dry fish and products by Deori community. Journal of Experimental Biology and Agriculture Science 2:618-622.
- Schiemer, F., U.S. Amarasinghe, J. Frouzova, B. Sricharoendham and E.I.L. Silva. 2001. Ecosystem structure and dynamics
 a management basis for Asian reservoirs and lakes. In: Reservoir and Culture-based Fisheries: Biology and Management (ed. Sena S. De Silva), pp. 215-226. ACIAR, Canberra, Australia.
- Silva, E.I.L. 2006. Ecology of phytoplankton in tropical waters: Introduction to the topic and ecosystem changes from Sri Lanka. Asian Journal of Water, Environment and Pollution. 4:25-35.
- Singh, K. P., F.R. Anees and N. Kumar. 2012. Population dynamics and eco-friendly utilization of non-commercial fishes for fish products development and its sustainable management. Research in Environment and Life Science 5:230-233.
- Singh, N. and P. K. Gupta. 2010. Food and feeding habits of an introduced mosquito fish, *Gambusia holbrooki* (Girard) (Poeciliidae) in a subtropical lake, Lake Nainital,India. Asian Fisheries Science 23: 355-366.
- Sugunan, V.V. 1995. Reservoir fisheries in India. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper. No. 345, Rome, FAO. 423 pp.

Thapa, N. 2016. Ethnic fermented and preserved fish products of India and Nepal. Journal of Ethnic Foods. 3:69-76.

- Vijverberg, J., P.B. Amarasinghe, M.G. Ariyaratna, and W.L.T. van Densen. 2001. Carrying capacity for small pelagic fish in three Asian reservoirs. In: Reservoir and culture-based fisheries: biology and management (ed. S.S. De Silva), pp. 153-166. ACIAR, Canberra, Australia.
- Villanueva M.C., J. Moreau, U.S. Amarasinghe and F. Schiemer. 2008. Food web and the trophic structure of two Asian reservoirs using ECOPATH with ECOSIM and ECOSPACE: A comparative study. In: Aquatic Ecosystem and Development: Comparative Asian Perspectives (eds. F. Schiemer, D. Simon, U.S. Amarasinghe and J. Moreau), pp. 413-434. Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, The Netherlands.
- Vishwanath,W.2010. *Laubuca laubuca*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2010-4.RLTS.T166649A6255351.en. Accessed 31 May 2016.
- Wikramanayaka, E.D. and P.B. Moyle. 1989. Ecological structure of tropical fish assemblages in wet-zone streams of Sri Lanka. Journal of Zoological Society of London 218:503-526.

Received: 25/06/2016; Accepted: 10/08/2016 (MS16-39)